Mr Daryl Melham MP<br>Chair<br>Joint Standing Committee on Electoral Matters<br>Parliament House<br>CANBERRA ACT 2600

## Dear Mr Melham

## Re Inquiry by the Joint Standing Committee on Electoral Matters (JSCEM) into the 2007 Federal Election.

Please find enclosed the Australian Electoral Commission's (AEC) responses to a number of requests for information taken on notice at the public hearings held in Melbourne on 11 August and in Canberra on 17 October 2008.

The AEC is yet to respond to a further two requests for enrolment and roll review related statistics, one by Senator Bob Brown at the hearing on 11 August and one by Mr Sullivan on 17 October. We are currently considering data extraction issues relating to these requests, and will provide a response as quickly as possible.

Yours sincerely


Acting Electoral Commissioner
November 2008

# AEC RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE AT JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE ON ELECTORAL MATTERS PUBLIC HEARINGS 

Breakdown by state and division of provisional votes where ID was not provided on the day and not provided in the timeframe (Mr Morrison, EM 13, Hansard 11 August 2008)<br>RESPONSE:<br>See attached table.

## More information in relation to the New Zealand fax voting system (Mr Melham and Mr Scott, EM 25-26, Hansard 17 October 2008) <br> RESPONSE:

AEC officers attended the recent New Zealand elections, and followed up on the JSCEM's interest in this area. In summary:

1. The New Zealand Elections Office has for the past three national elections allowed voters who are overseas to be able to download ballot papers and a declaration form from the internet and fax their completed ballot papers and a signed declaration back to the elections office.
2. The process involves the voter accessing the NZ elections office website, entering their name, address and date of birth.
3. A voter can only use this system if they are enrolled and must supply grounds for casting a special vote.
4. Once the voter is located on the roll they are presented with their ballot paper for printing.
5. When the voter prints the ballot paper a declaration and instructions on how to return the voting papers are also printed.
6. The system also advises the voter the fax number of the Chief Electoral Office where the ballot papers should be returned. The voter then completes the ballot papers and the declaration, and faxes them to the Chief Electoral Office.
7. The Chief Electoral Office has procedures in place to capture any duplicates of faxed votes.
8. Approximately 17,000 electors faxed back votes for the recent 2008 election.
9. In 200527,482 valid overseas votes were received of which 13,780 (50.14\%) were faxed back.
10. A key issue is that the voter's preferences can be observed by an election official during the initial processing immediately following receipt of the faxed material.
11. A further enhancement that has been suggested by the NZ voting public is to allow the scanning and emailing of the ballot paper and declaration in lieu of faxing.

## How many additional BVI Sites might be advisable should the JSCEM wish to expand the BVI voting trial. (Mr Melham, EM28, Hansard 17 October 2008) <br> RESPONSE:

If the Electronic Voting Machines remain for the exclusive use of voters who are blind or have low vision then the AEC would recommend no more than 40 sites in total. This would allow for the consolidation and rationalisation of the existing sites as well as adding in some regional centres that expressed concern at being left out of the 2007 trial.

Should the JSCEM determine that there should be a wider audience of voters, such as print handicapped or other languages, then the AEC would consider up to 60 sites in locations yet to be determined depending on the demographics of the target audience.

## Are AEC offices capable (accessible) for deployment of electronic magnifiers or CTV magnifying screens. (Mr Melham, EM30, Hansard 17 October 2008)

## RESPONSE:

During the conduct of the election, AEC Divisional Offices are not the primary physical point of contact for the voting public. Most office foyers are far too small to cater for the number of voters requiring pre poll voting, given the need to provide voting screens for electors, without consideration of a table and seating space for the magnifying unit. Electronic Magnifiers for the print handicapped would be more accessible if they were deployed to the major Pre Poll Centre in each Division, as these locations mat well have better physical access than AEC offices. Note that the unit demonstrated for JSCEM members was worth approximately \$5,000.

## What would be required to have a tick box on the postal vote application form that mentions vision impairment? Would it require a change in Legislation? (Mr Sullivan, EM30, Hansard 17 October 2008)

## RESPONSE:

The Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918 (CEA) requires that the postal vote application be on an approved form. The CEA does specify certain items that must
be on the postal vote application but is silent on the administrative items that the AEC may choose to include. However the collection of personal information is restricted by Privacy legislation to those matters that the AEC legislatively requires, or needs to fulfil its responsibilities, and these issues would need to be considered before such a change would be made.

If it was desired that the newly captured information was to be passed onto third parties, then issues associated with the distribution of this information would need to be explored. It is expected that the handling of the collected information and its distribution would need to be included in the CEA in a similar fashion to the current legislation that governs the distribution of other voter information.

## The number of declaration votes rejected in McEwen that would have been accepted in 2004? (Mr Melham, EM19, Hansard 11 August 2008)

## RESPONSE:

A total of 193 provisional votes were rejected for McEwen at the 2007 federal election due to new legislation introduced prior to the 2007 federal election.

They were:

- Elector removed by objection in error but vote cannot be admitted to further scrutiny - 1
- POI not provided - 192 .

Note: POI not provided includes 4 provisional votes where the voter claimed to be a silent elector at the time of voting (which means that POI was not required), but at preliminary scrutiny the elector was found not to be on the current roll as a silent elector. Therefore they were treated as a standard provisional voter which meant the provisional votes were subject to the evidence of identity requirements.

Provisional Votes excluded where ID not provided on the day and not provided within the timeframe

| Division | POI not provided at time of voting | Rejected POI not provided | Rejected - <br> POI <br> provided <br> after <br> deadline | Rejected POI not provided as claimed to be a silent elector ** | Total Rejected at Preliminary Scrutiny |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACT |  |  |  |  |  |
| CANBERRA | 168 | 140 | 0 | 6 | 146 |
| FRASER | 130 | 45 | 0 | 5 | 50 |
| Total ACT | 298 | 185 | 0 | 11 | 196 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NSW |  |  |  |  |  |
| BANKS | 125 | 113 | 0 | 0 | 113 |
| BARTON | 134 | 102 | 0 | 0 | 102 |
| BENNELONG | 121 | 102 | 0 | 1 | 103 |
| BEROWRA | 99 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 77 |
| BLAXLAND | 268 | 223 | 0 | 1 | 224 |
| BRADFIELD | 193 | 149 | 0 | 0 | 149 |
| CALARE | 112 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 89 |
| CHARLTON | 138 | 56 | 0 | 1 | 57 |
| CHIFLEY | 311 | 264 | 0 | 4 | 268 |
| COOK | 128 | 115 | 0 | 2 | 117 |
| COWPER | 183 | 155 | 0 | 0 | 155 |
| CUNNINGHAM | 60 | 51 | 0 | 1 | 52 |
| DOBELL | 142 | 135 | 0 | 0 | 135 |
| EDEN-MONARO | 168 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 71 |
| FARRER | 117 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 115 |
| FOWLER | 199 | 181 | 0 | 0 | 181 |
| GILMORE | 116 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 28 |
| GRAYNDLER | 256 | 229 | 0 | 0 | 229 |
| GREENWAY | 173 | 152 | 0 | 1 | 153 |
| HUGHES | 115 | 98 | 0 | 1 | 99 |
| HUME | 108 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 90 |
| HUNTER | 212 | 171 | 0 | 0 | 171 |
| KINGSFORD SMITH | 249 | 213 | 0 | 0 | 213 |
| LINDSAY | 162 | 136 | 0 | 3 | 139 |
| LOWE | 89 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 69 |
| LYNE | 66 | 68 | 0 | 2 | 70 |
| MACARTHUR | 203 | 179 | 0 | 3 | 182 |
| MACKELLAR | 84 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 81 |

The voter claimed to be a silent elector at the time of voting which meant that POI was not required. At preliminary scrutiny the elector was not enrolled as a silent elector so the vote had to be treated as a standard provisional vote, which meant it was subject to the evidence of identity requirement. POI was not provided so the vote was rejected.

| MACQUARIE | 178 | 151 | 0 | 3 | 154 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MITCHELL | 78 | 59 | 0 | 4 | $\mathbf{6 3}$ |
| NEW ENGLAND | 162 | 107 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{1 0 7}$ |
| NEWCASTLE | 121 | 123 | 0 | 2 | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ |
| NORTH SYDNEY | 187 | 147 | 0 | 1 | $\mathbf{1 4 8}$ |
| PAGE | 214 | 134 | 0 | 1 | $\mathbf{1 3 5}$ |
| PARKES | 207 | 176 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{1 7 6}$ |
| PARRAMATTA | 265 | 202 | 0 | 5 | $\mathbf{2 0 7}$ |
| PATERSON | 138 | 113 | 2 | 6 | $\mathbf{1 2 1}$ |
| PROSPECT | 130 | 105 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ |
| REID | 133 | 134 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{1 3 4}$ |
| RICHMOND | 300 | 163 | 2 | 1 | $\mathbf{1 6 6}$ |
| RIVERINA | 227 | 25 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{2 5}$ |
| ROBERTSON | 136 | 95 | 0 | 1 | $\mathbf{9 6}$ |
| SHORTLAND | 84 | 77 | 0 | 2 | $\mathbf{7 9}$ |
| SYDNEY | 480 | 431 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{4 3 1}$ |
| THROSBY | 135 | 156 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{1 5 6}$ |
| WARRINGAH | 130 | 139 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{1 3 9}$ |
| WATSON | 473 | 372 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{3 7 2}$ |
| WENTWORTH | 258 | 231 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{2 3 1}$ |
| WERRIWA | 54 | 87 | 2 | 0 | $\mathbf{8 9}$ |
| Total NSW | $\mathbf{8 4 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 9 1}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| NT |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| LINGIARI | 307 | 254 | 0 | 1 | $\mathbf{2 5 5}$ |
| SOLOMON | 203 | 144 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{1 4 4}$ |
| Total NT | $\mathbf{5 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 9}$ |


| QLD | 234 | 188 | 0 | 1 | $\mathbf{1 8 9}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| BLAIR | 144 | 121 | 0 | 2 | $\mathbf{1 2 3}$ |
| BONNER | 223 | 188 | 0 | 2 | $\mathbf{1 9 0}$ |
| BOWMAN | 274 | 239 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{2 3 9}$ |
| BRISBANE | 265 | 228 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{2 2 8}$ |
| CAPRICORNIA | 326 | 325 | 0 | 2 | $\mathbf{3 2 7}$ |
| DAWSON | 172 | 146 | 0 | 2 | $\mathbf{1 4 8}$ |
| DICKSON | 195 | 141 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{1 4 1}$ |
| FADDEN | 343 | 189 | 1 | 0 | $\mathbf{1 9 0}$ |
| FAIRFAX | 234 | 192 | 0 | 2 | $\mathbf{1 9 4}$ |
| FISHER | 263 | 215 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{2 1 5}$ |
| FLYNN | 134 | 122 | 0 | 2 | $\mathbf{1 2 4}$ |
| FORDE | 284 | 210 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{2 1 0}$ |
| GRIFFITH | 185 | 157 | 0 | 1 | $\mathbf{1 5 8}$ |
| GROOM | 379 | 315 | 0 | 2 | $\mathbf{3 1 7}$ |
| HERBERT |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |


| HINKLER | 152 | 41 | 0 | 1 | 42 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| KENNEDY | 741 | 443 | 0 | 2 | $\mathbf{4 4 5}$ |
| LEICHHARDT | 673 | 542 | 3 | 0 | $\mathbf{5 4 5}$ |
| LILLEY | 259 | 252 | 0 | 4 | $\mathbf{2 5 6}$ |
| LONGMAN | 311 | 270 | 1 | 5 | $\mathbf{2 7 6}$ |
| MARANOA | 215 | 295 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{2 9 5}$ |
| MCPHERSON | 330 | 193 | 1 | 1 | $\mathbf{1 9 5}$ |
| MONCRIEFF | 278 | 265 | 0 | 1 | $\mathbf{2 6 6}$ |
| MORETON | 167 | 134 | 0 | 3 | $\mathbf{1 3 7}$ |
| OXLEY | 310 | 241 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{2 4 1}$ |
| PETRIE | 133 | 104 | 0 | 2 | $\mathbf{1 0 6}$ |
| RANKIN | 219 | 176 | 0 | 6 | $\mathbf{1 8 2}$ |
| RYAN | 168 | 121 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{1 2 1}$ |
| WIDE BAY | 260 | 205 | 0 | 1 | $\mathbf{2 0 6}$ |
| Total QLD | $\mathbf{7 8 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{4 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 0 6}$ |


| SA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ADELAIDE | 286 | 220 | 0 | 8 | $\mathbf{2 2 8}$ |
| BARKER | 310 | 243 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{2 4 3}$ |
| BOOTHBY | 220 | 192 | 3 | 3 | $\mathbf{1 9 8}$ |
| GREY | 348 | 260 | 0 | 3 | $\mathbf{2 6 3}$ |
| HINDMARSH | 252 | 222 | 0 | 1 | $\mathbf{2 2 3}$ |
| KINGSTON | 293 | 234 | 0 | 3 | $\mathbf{2 3 7}$ |
| MAKIN | 234 | 181 | 0 | 10 | $\mathbf{1 9 1}$ |
| MAYO | 162 | 107 | 0 | 4 | $\mathbf{1 1 1}$ |
| PORT ADELAIDE | 396 | 304 | 0 | 3 | $\mathbf{3 0 7}$ |
| STURT | 230 | 183 | 0 | 5 | $\mathbf{1 8 8}$ |
| WAKEFIELD | 421 | 310 | 0 | 1 | $\mathbf{3 1 1}$ |
| Total SA | $\mathbf{3 1 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 0 0}$ |


| TAS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| BASS | 134 | 110 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ |  |
| BRADDON | 197 | 150 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ |  |
| DENISON | 191 | 162 | 0 | 3 | $\mathbf{1 6 5}$ |  |
| FRANKLIN | 195 | 167 | 0 | 1 | $\mathbf{1 6 8}$ |  |
| LYONS | 195 | 148 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{1 4 8}$ |  |
| Total TAS | $\mathbf{9 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 1}$ |  |


| VIC |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ASTON | 54 | 45 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{4 5}$ |
| BALLARAT | 180 | 131 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{1 3 1}$ |
| BATMAN | 138 | 99 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{9 9}$ |
| BENDIGO | 225 | 170 | 1 | 0 | $\mathbf{1 7 1}$ |
| BRUCE | 73 | 61 | 0 | 1 | $\mathbf{6 2}$ |


| CALWELL | 280 | 213 | 0 | 1 | 214 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CASEY | 140 | 110 | 0 | 1 | 111 |
| CHISHOLM | 148 | 148 | 0 | 0 | 148 |
| CORANGAMITE | 213 | 166 | 0 | 0 | 166 |
| CORIO | 196 | 131 | 0 | 3 | 134 |
| DEAKIN | 138 | 117 | 0 | 2 | 119 |
| DUNKLEY | 103 | 90 | 0 | 3 | 93 |
| FLINDERS | 139 | 171 | 1 | 0 | 172 |
| GELLIBRAND | 343 | 285 | 0 | 2 | 287 |
| GIPPSLAND | 243 | 210 | 0 | 1 | 211 |
| GOLDSTEIN | 154 | 136 | 0 | 3 | 139 |
| GORTON | 223 | 209 | 0 | 0 | 209 |
| HIGGINS | 211 | 207 | 0 | 0 | 207 |
| HOLT | 586 | 445 | 0 | 1 | 446 |
| HOTHAM | 205 | 168 | 0 | 1 | 169 |
| INDI | 109 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 95 |
| ISAACS | 302 | 265 | 0 | 3 | 268 |
| JAGAJAGA | 99 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 61 |
| KOOYONG | 79 | 65 | 0 | 2 | 67 |
| LA TROBE | 270 | 184 | 0 | 3 | 187 |
| LALOR | 218 | 197 | 1 | 1 | 199 |
| MALLEE | 208 | 109 | 0 | 1 | 110 |
| MARIBYRNONG | 200 | 247 | 0 | 1 | 248 |
| MCEWEN | 128 | 188 | 0 | 4 | 192 |
| MCMILLAN | 265 | 193 | 0 | 1 | 194 |
| MELBOURNE | 591 | 608 | 0 | 3 | 611 |
| MELBOURNE PORTS | 437 | 381 | 0 | 2 | 383 |
| MENZIES | 111 | 97 | 0 | 1 | 98 |
| MURRAY | 207 | 161 | 1 | 0 | 162 |
| SCULLIN | 131 | 131 | 2 | 3 | 136 |
| WANNON | 102 | 71 | 0 | 1 | 72 |
| WILLS | 184 | 125 | 0 | 0 | 125 |
| Total VIC | 7633 | 6490 | 6 | 45 | 6541 |


| WA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| BRAND | 489 | 419 | 0 | 5 | $\mathbf{4 2 4}$ |
| CANNING | 369 | 311 | 0 | 7 | $\mathbf{3 1 8}$ |
| COWAN | 257 | 215 | 0 | 5 | $\mathbf{2 2 0}$ |
| CURTIN | 312 | 252 | 3 | 5 | $\mathbf{2 6 0}$ |
| FORREST | 436 | 331 | 2 | 3 | $\mathbf{3 3 6}$ |
| FREMANTLE | 419 | 293 | 0 | 4 | $\mathbf{2 9 7}$ |
| HASLUCK | 404 | 298 | 0 | 3 | $\mathbf{3 0 1}$ |
| KALGOORLIE | 361 | 439 | 0 | 14 | $\mathbf{4 5 3}$ |
| MOORE | 150 | 147 | 0 | 4 | $\mathbf{1 5 1}$ |


| O'CONNOR | 239 | 290 | 0 | 3 | $\mathbf{2 9 3}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| PEARCE | 501 | 374 | 1 | 6 | $\mathbf{3 8 1}$ |
| PERTH | 162 | 279 | 1 | 4 | $\mathbf{2 8 4}$ |
| STIRLING | 305 | 242 | 3 | 7 | $\mathbf{2 5 2}$ |
| SWAN | 347 | 260 | 0 | 1 | $\mathbf{2 6 1}$ |
| TANGNEY | 176 | 116 | 0 | 3 | $\mathbf{1 1 9}$ |
| Total WA | $\mathbf{4 9 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 5 0}$ |


| National Total | 33724 | 27529 | 31 | 264 | 27824 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

